PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
USYS-0148/03-043 International application No.	International filing date (day/mo	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US04/08498	19 March 2004 (19.03.2004)	19 March 2003 (19.03.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC	
IPC(7): G06F 17/30 and US Cl.: 707/10	, 100, 101, 104.1; 345/7.00	
Applicant	_	
UNISYS CORPORATION		
This international prelimin Examining Authority and i	ary examination report has bee	n prepared by this International Preliminary ecording to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 5 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.
which have been ame	nded and are the basis for this (see Rule 70.16 and Section 60	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings report and/or sheets containing rectifications made 17 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).
	tions relating to the following i	tems:
3. This report contains indica	tions relating to the ronowing i	
I Basis of the repo	ort	•
II Priority		•
III Non-establishme	nt of report with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of	invention	
V Reasoned statem applicability; cita	ent under Article 35(2) with reations and explanations support	gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ing such statement
VI Certain documen	its cited	•
VII Certain defects in	n the international application	
VIII Certain observati	ions on the international applica	ation
	•	
Date of submission of the demand	· Date o	of completion of this report
	21 Jan	uary 2005 (21.01.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US	S Author	rized officer
Commissioner for Patents	. Fred 1	. Ehichioya
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Teleph	one No. 571-272-4034
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

International application No.	_
PCT/US04/08498	

I.	Ba	sis of the report
1.	Wit	h regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.
	\boxtimes	the description:
	-	pages 1 - 31 as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
		the claims: pages 32 - 35 , as originally filed
		pages 32 - 35 as originally filed pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the drawings.
		pages 1 - 20, as originally filed
	٠.	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	_	
	<u> </u>	the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE, as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
2.	Wit	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
	lang	uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language English which is:
	I ne	
•	닏	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	Щ	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	\boxtimes	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules
_		55.2 and/or 55.3). h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the
3.	Wit inter	rational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
	片	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	믐	·
į	닉	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	Щ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
,		international application as filed has been furnished.
l		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
		has been furnished
4. [The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
. г	-	
5. L		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
k R	enlad	ement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in
his i	reno	rt as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).
** A	ny re	eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

International application No. PCT/US04/08498

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(i citations and explanations supporting suc	i) with regath	rd to novelty, inventive step or indus	itrial applicability;
1.	STATEMENT			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4-15-, 20-31	YES
		Claims	1-3, 16-19, 32	NO
			•	,
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	1 - 32	NO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-32	YES
	musulai Applicacinty (11)		NONE	NO
				·

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS
Please See Continuation Sheet

International application No. PCT/US04/08498

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claim 1, 2, 3, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 32 do not meet novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent U.S. Patent 6,668,254 issued to Ken Matson et al ("Matson").

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Matson teaches a method for use in consolidating computing devices, comprising: storing in at least two data set files containing information indicative of the characteristics of at least a first computing device wherein the data sets describe the information in a markup language (Fig. 2 and column 5, lines 48 - 58); loading the at least two data sets into a first relational database so that the at least two data sets can be compared to each other (column 2, lines 5 - 10).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Matson teaches wherein one of the at least two data sets contains information indicative of a second computing device (column 6, lines 10 - 21 and column 7, lines 35 - 41).

Regarding claims 3 and 19, Matson teaches wherein the markup language comprises XML (column 5, lines 16 - 26)

Regarding claims 16 and 32, Matson teaches a second table related to the database name table wherein the second table is a table comprising at least one of: trigger, procedure, database role, function, and procedure (column 7, lines 27 - 30).

Claims 4 - 14 and 20 - 30 do not meet an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Matson in view of U.S. Pub. Number 2002/0059259 issued to Curt Lee Cotner et al ("Cotner").

Regarding claims 4 and 20, Matson does not explicitly teach wherein the information indicative of the characteristics of a computing device comprises information indicative of system parameters.

Corner teaches wherein the information indicative of the characteristics of a computing device comprises information indicative of system parameters (page 2, [0025]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Cotner with Matson wherein the parameters enable the customization of the stored procedures. The store procedures comprise pre-defined functions that access the user and system tables.

Regarding claims 5 and 21, Cotner teaches wherein the system parameters comprise at least one of: the number of processors, available processors, processor level, devices, disk drive characteristics, disk drive capacity, system name, page size, operating system version, operating system build, and network connectivity (page 2, [0019]).

International application No. PCT/US04/08498

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Regarding claims 6 and 22, Cotner teaches wherein the information indicative of the characteristics of a computing device comprises information indicative of executable process parameters (page 2, [0025]).

Regarding claims 7 and 23, Cotner teaches the executable process parameters comprise at least one of: CPU utilization, memory utilization, active processes, active process dependencies, processor usage, memory usage, process creation time, process 1D, process owner, process handles, process version, dependency version, process timestamp, process description, and dependency description (page 1, [0008] and [0014]).

Regarding claims 8 and 24. Cotner teaches the first relational database comprises a system information table for maintaining the system information for at least one computing device (page 3, [0041]).

Regarding claims 9 and 25. Cotner teaches the first relational database comprises a process table related to the system information table, wherein the process table maintains information related to executable processes on a computing device (page 3, [0041]).

Regarding claims 10 and 26, Cotner teaches the first relational database comprises a module table related to the system information table, wherein the module table maintains information related to modules on a computing device that are used by a process (page 2, [0016]).

Regarding claims 11 and 27. Cotner teaches the information indicative of the characteristics of a computing device comprises information indicative of computing device database definition parameters (page 2, [0022]).

Regarding claims 12 and 28, Cotner teaches the computing device database definition parameters comprise at least one of: database names, roles, users, aliases, defaults, rules, functions, user defined datatypes, user messages, tables, views, indexes, extended procedures, stored procedures, and triggers (page 2, [0024] - [0025]).

Regarding claims 13 and 29, Cotner teaches the first relational database comprises a database name table for maintaining the names of computing device database names (page 3, [0041]).

Regarding claims 14 and 30, Cotner teaches the first relational database comprises a table table related to the database name table, wherein the table maintains computing device database table names (page 3, [0041]).

Claims 15 and 31 do not meet an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Matson in view of U.S. Pub. Number 2003/0030656 issued to Darwin Ang et al ("Ang").

Regarding claims 15 and 31. Matson does not explicitly teach the first relational database comprises a column table related to the table table, wherein the column table maintains computing device database column names.

Ang teaches the first relational database comprises a column table related to the table table, wherein the column table maintains

computing device database column names (page 4, [0041] and page 6, [0060]). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Ang with Matson to